

GEOMETRY OF POLYNOMIAL MAPPINGS AT INFINITY VIA INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY

ANNA VALETTE AND GUILLAUME VALETTE

ABSTRACT. For given polynomial map $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ with nonvanishing jacobian we associate a variety whose homology or intersection homology describes the geometry of singularities at infinity of this map.

0. INTRODUCTION

In the study of geometrical or topological properties of polynomial mappings, the set of points at which those maps fail to be proper plays an important role. We call the smallest set A such that the map $F : X \setminus F^{-1}(A) \rightarrow Y \setminus A$ is proper, the asymptotic set. For instance, recall the famous Jacobian conjecture, in 1939 O.H. Keller [K] asked whether any polynomial map $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ with nowhere vanishing Jacobian is a polynomial automorphism. Since then many mathematicians tried to answer this question. In the topological approach, the main difficulty is to verify if such a map is proper. It is then natural to study the topology of the asymptotic set. In the 90's of the previous century Z. Jelonek studied properties of this set and obtained very important results. We recall briefly some of them in the next section. The starting point of the study of the asymptotic set is the simple observation that the asymptotic set of a given map $F : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$ is the image under canonical projection of the $\overline{\text{graph}(F)} \setminus \text{graph}(F)$, where the closure is taken in the $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{K}^m$, here and in the sequel $\mathbb{K}$ stands for the field of complex or real numbers. This gives many important consequences. But if one is interested to keep the assumption of non-vanishing Jacobian, compactifications have to be avoided. For instance a compactification drastically affect the volume of the given Euclidian space. If the jacobian is constant then locally the mapping F preserves the volume. Thus, most of the information is lost in the compactification.

Our aim is to give a new approach for the Jacobian conjecture. We will construct a pseudomanifold N_F associated to the given polynomial map $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. In the case $n = 2$ we will then prove that the map F with non-vanishing jacobian is not proper iff the homology or the intersection homology of N_F is nontrivial.

This provides a new and original approach of the Jacobian conjecture. In general, singularities of mappings are much more difficult to handle than singularities of sets. We reduce the study of an application $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ to the study of a singular semialgebraic set N_F .

Our approach is of metric nature. The significant advantage is to be able to investigate the singularities, without looking at singularities themselves, but just at the regular points

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A78, 32B10, 32B20 .

This research was done durring the authors stay in the Fields Institute in 2009.

nearby. For instance, it was proved in [V] that the L^∞ cohomology of the regular locus of a given subanalytic pseudomanifold (which may be proved to be a subanalytic bi-Lipschitz invariant of the regular locus) is a topological invariant of the pseudomanifold. Being able to work beside the singularities could prove to be useful to investigate singularities at infinity (where we hardly can go). In this paper we shall relate L^∞ cohomology of the regular locus of the constructed pseudomanifold N_F to the behavior of F at infinity (Corollary 3.4). This result relies on the de Rham theorem proved in [V], which yields an isomorphism between L^∞ cohomology and intersection cohomology in the maximal perversity.

The idea is to transfer the problem to differential geometry so as to reformulate the problem later in terms of differential equations. Most of the usual geometric approaches only emphasize that the Jacobian is nonzero rather than the fact that it is constant.

It seems indeed more useful to find intersection homology than homology. The reason is that we are also able to prove that the set N_F is a stratified pseudomanifold with only even codimensional strata. The main feature of intersection homology is that M. Goresky and R. MacPherson were able to show in their fundamental paper that their theory satisfies Poincaré duality for stratified pseudomanifold and the case of pseudomanifolds stratified by even codimensional strata is the most important one if one is interested in the middle perversity. The case of the maximal perversity is also of importance since, as we said above, it makes it possible to relate the geometry of F at infinity to the L^∞ cohomology of N_F .

1. PRELIMINARIES AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

In this section we set our background. We begin by recalling of useful properties of the asymptotic variety, then we recall the concept of the intersection homology and we finish by recalling the L^∞ homology.

1.1. Notations and conventions. Given a subset X of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by $C_i(X)$ the group of i -dimensional singular chains with the coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$, if c is an element of $C_i(X)$, we denote by $|c|$ its support. By $Reg(X)$ and $Sing(X)$ we denote respectively the regular and singular locus of the set X .

1.2. The Jelonek set. For a polynomial map $F : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$, we denote by J_F the set of points at which the map F is not proper, i.e. $J_F = \{y \in \mathbb{K}^m \text{ such that } \exists \{x_k\} \subset \mathbb{K}^n, |x_k| \rightarrow \infty, F(x_k) \rightarrow y\}$, and call it the asymptotic variety or the Jelonek set of F . The geometry of this set was studied by Jelonek in the series of papers [J1, J2, J3]. He obtained a nice description of this set, gave also an upper bound of the degree of this set. For the details and the applications of these results we refer to the works of Jelonek. In our paper we will need the following powerful theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Jelonek [J2]). *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be generically finite polynomial map then the set J_F is a $n - 1$ pure dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -uniruled algebraic variety or an empty set.*

Here by $\mathbb{C}$ - uniruled variety we mean that through any point of this variety passes a rational curve included in this variety (X is $\mathbb{C}$ -uniruled if for all $x \in X$ there exists a non-constant polynomial map $\varphi_x : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ such that $\varphi_x(0) = x$).

In the real case the Jelonek set is an $\mathbb{R}$ -uniruled semi-algebraic set but there is no restriction on its dimension just it can be any number between 1 and $n - 1$ [J3].

1.3. Intersection homology. We briefly recall the definition of intersection homology, for details we refer to the fundamental work of Goresky and MacPherson [GM1].

Definition 1.2. A **pseudomanifold** is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose singular locus is of codimension at least 2.

All the pseudomanifolds that we will consider in this paper will be semi-algebraic.

Let X be a pseudomanifold, consider a filtration of subsets $\emptyset = X_{-1} \subset X_0 \subset \cdots \subset X_m = X$ such that $X_i \setminus X_{i-1} = \emptyset$ or $\dim(X_i \setminus X_{i-1}) = i$. We will say that X is a **stratified pseudomanifold** if the stratification given by the sets $X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$, for $0 \leq i \leq m$ is locally topologically trivial along the strata.

Definition 1.3. A perversity is a $(m - 1)$ -tuple of integers $\bar{p} = (p_2, p_3, \dots, p_m)$ such that $p_2 = 0$ and $p_{k+1} \in \{p_k, p_k + 1\}$.

Traditionally we denote the zero perversity by $\bar{0} = (0; \dots; 0)$, the maximal perversity by $\bar{t} = (0; 1; \dots; m - 2)$ and the middle perversities by $\bar{m} = (0; 0; 1; 1; \dots [\frac{m-2}{2}])$ (lower middle) and $\bar{n} = (0; 1; 1; 2; 2; \dots [\frac{m-1}{2}])$ (upper middle). We say that the perversities $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ are **complementary** if $\bar{p} + \bar{q} = \bar{t}$.

Let X be a stratified pseudomanifold and let $\sigma_j : T_i \rightarrow X$ be a singular simplex. We say that the support of a singular chain $c = \sum \alpha_j \sigma_j$ of dimension i is $(\bar{p}, i)$ -**allowable** if $\dim(|c| \cap X_{m-k}) \leq i - k + p_k$ for all $k \geq 2$.

In particular, a subspace $Y \subset X$ is called $(\bar{t}; i)$ -allowable if $\dim(Y \cap X_{\text{sing}}) < i - 1$.

Define $IC_i^{\bar{p}}(X)$ to be the subgroup of $C_i(X)$ consisting of those chains ξ such that $|\xi|$ is $(\bar{p}, i)$ -allowable and $|\partial\xi|$ is $(\bar{p}, i - 1)$ -allowable.

Definition 1.4. The i^{th} intersection homology group of perversity $\bar{p}$, denoted by $IH_i^{\bar{p}}(X)$, is the i^{th} homology group of the chain complex $IC_{\bullet}^{\bar{p}}(X)$.

Goresky and MacPherson proved that these groups are independent of the choice of the stratification and are finitely generated [GM1, GM2].

Recall also a remarkable

Theorem 1.5 (Goresky, MacPherson [GM1]). *Let X be an orientable, compact pseudomanifold then generalized Poincaré duality applies:*

$$(1.1) \quad IH_k^{\bar{p}}(X) \simeq IH_{m-k}^{\bar{q}}(X),$$

where $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ are complementary perversities.

In the non-compact case the above isomorphism holds for Borel-Moore homology:

$$(1.2) \quad IH_k^{\bar{p}}(X) \simeq IH_{m-k, BM}^{\bar{q}}(X),$$

where $IH_{\bullet, BM}$ denotes the intersection homology with respect to Borel-Moore chains [GM2, KW].

1.4. L^∞ **cohomology.** Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth submanifold.

Definition 1.6. We say that a form ω on M is L^∞ if there exists a constant C such that for any $x \in M$:

$$|\omega(x)| \leq C.$$

We denote by $\Omega_\infty^j(M)$ the cochain complex constituted by all the j -forms ω such that ω and $d\omega$ are both L^∞ .

The cohomology groups of this cochain complex are called the L^∞ -**cohomology groups of M** and will be denoted by $H_\infty^\bullet(M)$. We may endow this cochain complex with the norm:

$$|\omega|_\infty := \sup_M |\omega| + \sup_M |d\omega|.$$

Recently the second author showed that the L^∞ cohomology of a pseudomanifold coincides with its intersection cohomology in the maximal perversity ([V], Theorem 1.2.2):

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be a compact subanalytic pseudomanifold (possibly with boundary). Then, for any j :*

$$H_\infty^j(\text{Reg}(X)) \simeq I^{\bar{t}} H^j(X).$$

Furthermore, the isomorphism is induced by the natural map provided by integration on allowable simplices.

The theorem presented in the latter paper was devoted to pseudomanifolds without boundary but actually still applies when the boundary is nonempty (as mentioned in the introduction of [V]).

2. CONSTRUCTION OF AN EMBEDDING

For now we will consider a polynomial map $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ as a real one $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. By $\text{Sing}(F)$ we mean a singular locus of F which is the zero set of its Jacobian and we denote by $K_0(F)$ the set of critical values of F i. e. the set $F(\text{Sing}(F))$.

We denote by ρ the Euclidean Riemannian metric of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We can pull it back in a natural way:

$$F^* \rho_x(u, v) := \rho(d_x F(u), d_x F(v)).$$

This metric is non degenerate outside the singular locus of F .

Define the Riemannian manifold $M_F := (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \text{Sing}(F); F^* \rho)$, and observe that the map F induces a local isometry nearby any point of M_F .

The first important step is to embed the manifold M_F into an affine space. For this we can make use of F . The only problem is that F is not necessarily one-to-one, but just locally one-to-one. We begin by proving the following lemma, which is a well known fact that we detail for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a finite covering of M_F by open semi-algebraic subsets, such that on any element of this covering the mapping F induces a diffeomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_F \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be the graph of the restriction of F to M_F . As the restriction of F to M_F is finite-to-one, there exists a partition $(C_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, into smooth semi-algebraic cells, such that the set Γ_F is, over each open C_i , the union of the respective graphs of

finitely many semi-algebraic smooth mappings $\xi_i^1, \dots, \xi_i^{s_i} : C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Take an open cell C_i . Every point of its boundary possesses a basis of neighborhoods U in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that $U \cap C_i$ is connected. At any point of the boundary of C_i which does not belong to $K_0(F)$, the function ξ_i^j , $1 \leq j \leq s_j$ may have only one asymptotical value in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \cup \{\infty\}$ (again due to the finiteness of the fibers of F and connectedness of $C_i \cap U$). This means that the mapping ξ_i extends continuously to the boundary of C_i to a mapping with values in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let D_i be the graph of ξ_i restricted to the set $\{x \in \overline{C_i} \setminus K_0(F) \mid \xi_i(x) < \infty\}$. This set is clearly closed in Γ_F .

Let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ (resp. π_2) be the projection on the first (resp. second) n coordinates. As F is nonsingular on M_F , for any $x \notin \text{Sing}(F)$ there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $F|_U$ is an analytic isomorphism onto its image. This means that π_2 induces a local analytic isomorphism in a neighborhood of $(x; F(x))$ in Γ_F for $x \notin \text{Sing}(F)$. Hence, for any z in $\pi_2(D_i)$, the mapping ξ_i^j may be extended to a smooth mapping in a neighborhood of $\pi_2(z)$ and therefore F induces a diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of $\pi_1(D_i)$ in M_F onto its image. $\square$

The next proposition will enable us to transfer the geometry at infinity of given polynomial map $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ to the constructed set. Namely the intersection homology of the set N_F provided by following proposition determines the geometry of F at infinity as we will see in the main theorem.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a polynomial map. There exists a real semi-algebraic pseudomanifold $N_F \subset \mathbb{R}^\nu$, for some $\nu \geq n$, such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Sing}(N_F) \subset (J_F \cup K_0(F)) \times \{0\}$$

and there exists a semi-algebraic bilipschitz map:

$$h_F : M_F \rightarrow \text{Reg}(N_F),$$

where N_F is equipped with the induced metric on $\mathbb{R}^\nu$.

Proof. Let $U_1, \dots, U_p$ be the open sets provided by Lemma 2.1. We may find some semi-algebraic closed subsets $V_i \subset U_i$ which cover $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as well.

Thanks to Mostowski separation theorem [M], see also Lemma 8.8.8 in [BCR], there exist Nash functions $\psi_i : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, such that for each i , ψ_i is positive on V_i and negative on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus U_i$. Define:

$$(2.4) \quad h_F := (F; \psi_1; \dots; \psi_p) \text{ and } N_F := \overline{h_F(M_F)}.$$

We first check that the mapping h_F is injective on M_F . Take $x \neq x'$ with $h_F(x) = h_F(x')$, and let i be such that $x \in V_i$. As $F(x') = F(x)$, the injectivity of F on U_i entails that $x' \notin U_i$. But this means that $\psi_i(x) > 0$ and $\psi_i(x') < 0$. A contradiction.

We claim that if the functions ψ_i are chosen sufficiently small then the mapping h_F is bilipschitz. For this we will use Łojasiewicz inequality in the following form:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed semi-algebraic set, and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous semi-algebraic function. Then there exist $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $x \in A$ one have*

$$|f(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|^2)^p.$$

For the proof see [BCR], Proposition 2.6.2.

Now take a point z in N_F and denote by $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^{2n+p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ the projection on the first $2n$ coordinates. Then $y = \pi_1(z)$ belongs to the image of F . Choose $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $F(x) = y$ and fix a neighborhood of x such that the mapping $F|_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Define, for $y \in F(U)$ following functions:

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{\psi}_i(y) := \psi_i \circ (F|_U)^{-1}(y)$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{\psi}(y) := (y; \tilde{\psi}_1(y); \dots; \tilde{\psi}_p(y)),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, p$.

We have then formula

$$(2.7) \quad h_F(x) = (F(x); \tilde{\psi}_1(F(x)); \dots; \tilde{\psi}_p(F(x))) = \hat{\psi}(F(x)).$$

As the map $F : (U; \rho_F) \rightarrow F(U)$ is bilipschitz, it is enough to show that $\hat{\psi} : F(U) \rightarrow \pi_1^{-1}(F(U))$ is bilipschitz. This amounts to prove that $\tilde{\psi}_i$ has bounded derivatives for any $i = 1, \dots, p$. By Łojasiewicz inequality (Proposition 2.3) there exist a positive constant ε and an integer N such that

$$\inf_{u \in S^n} |d_x F(u)| \geq \varepsilon \frac{1}{(1 + |x|)^N},$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Furthermore the derivatives of ψ_i satisfy a similar inequality as well. Therefore multiplying ψ_i by a huge power of $\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}$ (which is a Nash function) if necessary we see that we can assume that the derivatives of $\tilde{\psi}_i$ are bounded, as required.

To finish the proof, it remains to show that $N_F \setminus h_F(M_F)$ is contained in the set

$$(J_F \cup K_0(F)) \times \{0\}.$$

Again thanks to Łojasiewicz inequality, we may assume that the functions ψ_i tend to zero at infinity and near $Sing(F)$. Consequently, $N_F \setminus h_F(M_F)$ coincides with the Jelonek set of F together with $K_0(F)$, which is of codimension 2 in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ thanks to Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Remark 2.4. From the proof we get immediately that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Reg(N_F) \\ & \nearrow h_F & \downarrow \pi_F \\ \mathbb{R}^{2n} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{2n} \end{array}$$

Where π_F is locally bilipschitz.

Remark 2.5. If the Jacobian of F is identically equal to 1, then every point x has a neighborhood U such that the restriction of F to this neighborhood preserves the Lebesgue measure. In this case the mapping h_F preserves the volume of subsets of U “up to constant” in the sense that there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that for any subset A of U we have:

$$C_1 \mathcal{L}^n(A) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(h_F(A)) \leq C_2 \mathcal{L}^n(A),$$

where $\mathcal{H}^n$ and $\mathcal{L}^n$ denote respectively the n -dimensional Hausdorff and Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a polynomial map then there exists a natural stratification of the set N_F , with only even dimensional strata, which is topologically trivial along the strata.*

Proof. Consider the set

$$A := \pi_F^{-1}(J_F) \setminus J_F \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^{\nu-4}}\}.$$

We first check the following fact:

Claim. The set $B := cl(A) \cap J_F \times \{0_{\mathbb{R}^{\nu-4}}\}$ is finite.

It is enough to show that it is included in the set of asymptotical values of $F|_{F^{-1}(J_F)}$ (for $F^{-1}(J_F)$ is a complex algebraic curve). Let $x \in B$. There exists a (real analytic) curve α in A tending to x . Clearly $\pi_F(\alpha(s)) \in J_F$ entails $h_F^{-1}(\alpha(s)) \in F^{-1}(J_F)$. As α ends at a point of the singular locus of N_F and h_F is one-to-one and nowhere singular, the preimage of α by h_F must go to infinity, which means that $\pi_F(x) = x$ is an asymptotical value of $F|_{F^{-1}(J_F)}$.

Let now

$$N_0 = B \cup Sing(J_F \cup K_0(F)),$$

and take $x_0 \in (J_F \cup K_0(F)) \setminus N_0$.

We have to show that every point x_0 , has a neighborhood in N_F which is topologically trivial. As we will also show that this topological trivialization preserves J_F this will show that the stratification given by $(J_F \cup K_0(F); N_0)$ is locally topologically trivial along the strata.

Since the problem is purely local we may identify $J_F \times \{0\}$ with $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ and work nearby the origin. Consider now the following local isotopies

$$\mu_i : U \times [-\varepsilon; \varepsilon] \rightarrow U,$$

where U is a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^4$, defined by $\mu_i^i(x; t) = x + te_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Choosing U small enough, we can assume $U \cap B = \emptyset$. Over the complement of $(K_0(F) \cup J_F) \cap U$, these isotopies may be lifted to isotopies on $\pi_F^{-1}(U)$ for π_F induces a covering map.

We denote this lifting $\tilde{\mu}_i$. On $U \cap (J_F \cup K_0(F)) \times \{0\}$, $\pi_F(x; 0) = x$, so that each $\tilde{\mu}_i$ extends continuously. As π_F is a local homeomorphism at any point of

$$Reg(N_F \setminus ((K_0(F) \cup J_F) \times \{0\})),$$

each $\tilde{\mu}_i$ extends continuously when x tends to any point $\pi_F^{-1}(U \cap J_F)$. The obtained isotopies may not fall into $J_F \times \{0\}$ since U does not meet the set B .

□

3. NON PROPERNESS AND VANISHING CYCLES.

In the case $n = 2$, the singular homology as well as the intersection homology of the constructed set N_F captures the behavior at infinity of F . If F is proper then N_F is a ball in $\mathbb{R}^4$ and thus

$$H_2(N_F) = IH_2^{\bar{t}}(N_F) = 0.$$

We are going to show that the converse is also true (Theorem 3.2).

For this purpose we start by proving

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha : T_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a singular j -chain and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ be a compact semi-algebraic family of sets with $|\beta| \subset A_t$ for any t . Assume that β bounds a $(j+1)$ in each A_t , $t > 0$. Then β bounds a chain in A_0 .*

Proof. Given a compact family of sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times [0; \varepsilon]$, there exists a semi-algebraic triangulation of A such that A_0 is a union of image of simplices (here A_0 denotes the zero fiber of the family A). Therefore, there exists a continuous strong deformation retraction of a neighborhood U of A onto A_0 . As A_t lies in U for t small enough, the lemma ensues. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a polynomial map with nowhere vanishing Jacobian. Fix a perversity $\bar{p}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) F is non proper,
- (2) $H_2(N_F) \neq 0$,
- (3) $IH_2^{\bar{p}}(N_F) \neq 0$.

Proof. We first show that (1) implies (2). As the cycle that we will exhibit will be $(\bar{p}; 2)$ allowable for any perversity $\bar{p}$, this will also establish that (1) implies (3).

Assume that F is not proper. This means that there exists a Puiseux arc $\gamma : \mathbb{D}(0; \eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$,

$$\gamma(z) = az^\alpha + \dots,$$

(with α negative and $a \in \mathbb{R}^4$ unit vector) tending to infinity in such a way that $F(\gamma)$ converges to an element $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Let C be an oriented triangle in $\mathbb{R}^4$ whose barycenter is the origin. Then, as $h_F \circ \gamma$ extends continuously at 0, the map $h_F \circ \gamma$, provides a singular 2-simplex in N_F that we will denote by c . This simplex is $(\bar{t}; 2)$ allowable since

$$J_F \cap |c| = \{x_0\}.$$

The support of ∂c lies in $N_F \setminus J_F$. As, by definition of N_F , $N_F \setminus J_F \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$, this means that ∂c bounds a singular chain $e \in C_3(N_F \setminus J_F)$. But then $\sigma = \partial c - e$ is a $(\bar{t}; 2)$ -allowable cycle of N_F . We claim that σ may not bound a 3-chain in N_F .

Assume otherwise, it means that there exists a chain $\tau \in C_3(N_F)$, satisfying $\partial \tau = \sigma$.

For R large enough, the sphere $S(0; R)$ is transverse to A and B (at regular points). Therefore, after a triangulation, the intersection $\sigma_R := S(0; R) \cap A$ is a chain bounding the chain $\tau_R := S(0; R) \cap B$.

Given a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ we define the “tangent cone at infinity” by:

$$C_\infty(A) := \{\lambda \in S^3 : \exists \gamma : (0; \varepsilon] \rightarrow A \text{ Nash, } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t) = \infty, \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(t)}{|\gamma(t)|} = \lambda\}.$$

Let $\hat{F}_1$ and $\hat{F}_2$ be the initial forms of the components of $F := (F_1; F_2)$ and let α be any analytic arc in $\mathbb{R}^4$, tending to infinity and such that $F(\gamma)$ does not tend to infinity. Then, for any $i = 1, 2$, the Puiseux expansion of $\hat{F}_i(\gamma(z))$ must start like:

$$(3.8) \quad \hat{F}_i(\gamma(z)) = F_i(a)z^\beta + \dots,$$

for some negative integer β . As the arc $F(\gamma)$ does not diverge to infinity as z tends to zero, we see that

$$F_i(a) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Therefore, if a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is mapped onto a bounded set by F then $C_\infty(X)$ is included in the zero locus V of $\hat{F} := (\hat{F}_1; \hat{F}_2)$. Hence $C_\infty(A)$ and $C_\infty(B)$ are subsets of $V \cap S^3$. Observe that, in a neighborhood of infinity, A coincides with the support of the complex analytic arc γ . Thus $C_\infty(A)$ is nothing but $S^1 \cdot a$.

Consider a Nash strong deformation retraction $\rho : W \times [0; 1] \rightarrow S^1 \cdot a$, of a neighborhood W of $\mathbb{C}a \cap S^3$ in the sphere onto $S^1 \cdot a$.

Let $\tilde{\sigma}_R = \frac{\sigma_R}{R}$. The image of the restriction of γ to a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$ entirely lies in the cone over W . Consequently, $|\tilde{\sigma}_R|$ is included in W , for R large enough.

Let σ'_R be the image of $\tilde{\sigma}_R$ under the retraction ρ_1 (where $\rho_1(x) := \rho(x; 1)$). As, near infinity, $|\sigma_R|$ coincides with the support of the arc γ , for R large enough the class of $\sigma'_R \cap S^3(0; R)$ is nonzero is $S^1 \cdot Ra$.

As the retraction ρ_1 is isotopic to the identity, there exists a chain $\theta \in$ such that:

$$\partial\theta_R = \sigma'_R - \tilde{\sigma}_R.$$

The sets $|\theta_R|$ constitute a semi-algebraic family of sets. Denote by $E \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}$ its closure and set $E_0 := E \cap \mathbb{R}^4 \times 0$. As the strong deformation retract ρ is the identity on $S^1 \cdot a \times [0; 1]$, we see that

$$(3.9) \quad E_0 \subset S^1 a \subset V \cap S^3.$$

Let now $\tilde{\tau}_R = \frac{\tau_R}{R}$ and:

$$\theta'_R := \tilde{\tau}_R + \theta_R,$$

and denote by E' the semi-algebraic family of sets constituted the closure of the union of all by the sets $|\theta'_R|$. Again denote by E'_0 the fiber at 0, i. e. the set $E' \cap \mathbb{R}^4 \times \{0\}$. By (3.9) and the definition of θ' we have

$$(3.10) \quad E'_0 \subset E_0 \cup C_\infty(B) \subset V \cap S^3,$$

and $\partial\theta'_R = \sigma'_R$.

The class of σ' is, up to a product by a nonzero constant, equal to the generator of $H^1(S^1 \cdot a)$. It means that the cycle $S^1 \cdot a$ bounds the chain θ'_R which has support in $E'_R \subset V \cap S^3$. By Lemma 3.1 this implies that $S^1 \cdot a$ bounds a chain in $E'_0 \subset V \cap S^3$, a contradiction.

If F is proper map, then the set N_F is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2$ and consequently $H_2(N_F) = IH_2^{\bar{I}}(N_F) = 0$. Thus, (2) and (3) both fail.

□

Observation 3.3. The set $N_F^R := N_F \cap \bar{B}(0, R)$ is a pseudomanifold with boundary for large enough R .

Proof. We are going to show that N_F^R is a stratified pseudomanifold. First of all, observe that if R is chosen large enough then R is not a critical value of the distance function to the origin on the set N_F and thus N_F^R is a smooth manifold with boundary at any

point of $N_F \cap \{\rho = R\} \setminus J_F$. Furthermore, by Hardt's Theorem [H], the level surfaces $N_F \cap \{\rho = R\}$ are pseudomanifolds constituting a semi-algebraically topologically trivial family. The trivialization may be required to preserve J_F . Consequently, the set

$$(N_F^R; N_F \cap \{\rho = R\})$$

is a pseudomanifold with boundary. □

Now thanks to the de Rham theorem for L^∞ forms (Theorem 1.7) we get the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a polynomial map with nowhere vanishing Jacobian. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) F is nonproper,
- (2) $H_\infty^2(\text{Reg}(N_F^R)) \neq 0$.

REFERENCES

- [BCR] J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.-F. Roy, *Géométrie algébrique réelle*, Springer 1987
- [GM1] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, Intersection homology theory. *Topology* 19 (1980), no. 2, 135–162.
- [GM2] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, Intersection homology. II. *Invent. Math.* 72 (1983), no. 2, 291–302.
- [H] R. Hardt, Semi-algebraic local-triviality in semi-algebraic mappings. *Amer. J. Math.* 102 (1980), no. 2, 291–302.
- [J1] Z. Jelonek, The set of point at which polynomial map is not proper, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 58 (1993), no. 3, 259–266.
- [J2] Z. Jelonek, Testing sets for properness of polynomial mappings. *Math. Ann.* 315 (1999), no. 1, 1–35.
- [J3] Z. Jelonek, Geometry of real polynomial mappings. *Math. Z.* 239 (2002), no. 2, 321–333.
- [K] O.H. Keller, Ganze Cremonatransformationen *Monatschr. Math. Phys.* 47 (1939) pp. 229306.
- [KW] F. Kirwan, J. Woolf, *An Introduction to Intersection Homology Theory*, Second edition, Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- [M] T. Mostowski, Some properties of the ring of Nash functions. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4) 3 (1976), no. 2, 245–266.
- [V] G. Valette, L^∞ homology is an intersection homology, arXiv:0912.0713.

(A. Valette) INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UNIwersYTETU Jagiellońskiego, UL. S ŁOJASIEWICZA, KRAKÓW, POLAND

E-mail address: `anna.valette@im.uj.edu.pl`

(G. Valette) INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY PAN, UL. ŚW. TOMASZA 30, 31-027 KRAKÓW, POLAND

E-mail address: `gvalette@impan.pl`